BEAR RIVER WATER CONSERVANCY DISTRICT DECEMBER 31, 2005 AND 2004

Table of Contents

	Page	No.
Independent Auditor's Report	1 -	2
Management's Discussion and Analysis (Unaudited)	3 -	7
Financial Statements:		
Statement of Net Assets - Proprietary Fund	8 -	9
Fund Net Assets - Proprietary Fund Statement of Cash Flows - Proprietary Fund	10 11 -) 12
Notes to Financial Statements	13 -	24
Report on Legal Compliance Applicable to Utah State Laws and Regulations	25	5
Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards	26 -	27

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

Board of Trustees Bear River Water Conservancy District Brigham City, UT 84302

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Bear River Water Conservancy District (District), as of and for the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004, as listed in the table of contents. These financial statements are the responsibility of the District's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in United States of America and the applicable to financial audits contained Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the District as of December 31, 2005 and 2004, and the changes in financial position and cash flows thereof for the years then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Bear River Water Conservancy District February 27, 2006 Page Two

In accordance with Government Auditing Standards, we have also issued our report dated February 27, 2006, on our consideration of the District's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations and contracts. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards and should be considered in assessing the results of our audit.

The management's discussion and analysis, as listed in the table of contents, is not a required part of the financial statements but is supplementary information required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. We have applied certain limited procedures which consisted principally of inquiries of management regarding the methods of measurement and presentation of the required supplementary information. However, we did not audit the information and express no opinion on it.

Davis & Bott

Certified Public Accountants, L.C.

Brigham City, Utah February 27, 2006

7

The following is a discussion and analysis of Bear River Water Conservancy District's financial performance providing an overview of the District's financial activities for the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004. Please read it in conjunction with the District's financial statements following this section.

FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS

- A. The assets of the District exceeded its liabilities as of the close of the most recent year by \$2,773,149.
- B. The District's total net assets increased by \$265,367.

OVERVIEW OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The District's financial statements are prepared on the accrual basis of accounting. The District reports as a single enterprise fund. Revenues are recognized when earned and expenses are recognized in the period in which they are incurred. See the notes to the financial statements for a summary of the District's significant accounting principles.

The discussion and analysis is intended to serve as an introduction to the District's financial statements and notes to the financial statements.

Fund financial statements. A fund is a grouping of related accounts used to maintain control over resources that have been segregated for specific activities or objectives. The District, like other state and local governments, uses fund accounting to ensure and demonstrate compliance with finance-related legal requirements. The District reports as a single proprietary fund.

Proprietary funds. The District uses an enterprise fund to account for operations financed and operated in a manner similar to private business enterprises - where the intent of the governing body is that the costs of providing goods or services to the general public on a continuing basis be financed or recovered primarily through user charges.

Notes to the financial statements. The notes provide additional information that is essential to a full understanding of the data provided in the fund financial statements. The notes are part of the financial statements.

FINANCIAL STATEMENT ANALYSIS

As noted earlier, net assets may serve over time as a useful indicator of a government's financial position. In the case of the District, assets exceeded liabilities by \$2,773,149 at the close of the most recent fiscal year.

BEAR RIVER WATER CONSERVANCY DISTRICT'S NET ASSETS

		December 31	
	<u> 2005</u>	2004	<u>2003</u>
ASSETS			
Current and other assets	\$ 724,814	\$ 814,872	\$ 759,774
Restricted assets	26 8, 837	252,250	232,356
Capital assets	4,883,104	4,676,099	4,516,647
Total assets, net	5,876,755	5,743,221	5,508,777
LIABILITIES			
Other liabilities	198,606	195,439	158,079
Long-term obligations less			
current maturities	2,905,000	3,040,000	3,173,000
Total liabilities	3,103,606	3,235,439	3,331,079
NET ASSETS			
Investment in capital assets,			
net of related debt	1,832,593	1,492,184	1,202,332
Restricted	26 8, 837	252,370	232,356
Unrestricted	671,719	763,228	743,010
Total net assets	\$ 2,773,149	\$ 2, 507,782	\$ 2,177,698

The largest portion of the District's net assets (66 percent) reflects its investment in capital assets (e.g. land, buildings, water systems, equipment and water rights) less any related debt used to acquire those assets that is still outstanding.

See Independent Auditors' Report

Of the remaining balance, the unrestricted net assets of \$671,719 may be used to meet the District's obligations to customers, member agencies, employees and creditors.

BEAR RIVER WATER CONSERVANCY DISTRICT'S CHANGES IN NET ASSETS

			De	ecember 31	_	
		<u> 2005</u>		<u>2004</u>		2003
Revenues:						
Program revenues:						
Charges for services	\$	212,440	\$	197,368	\$	193,226
General revenues:						
General property tax		391 ,6 06		379,593		35 0, 699
Other	****	63,135		91,075	_	26,393
Total revenues		667,181	-	668,036	-	570,318
Expenses:						
Water utility		418,999		396,888		407,289
Nonoperating expenses:						
Interest		33 , 906		35,327		36,712
Trustee fees		5 ,9 78		5,510		5,910
Grant expense		45,931	_	29,227		20,424
Total expenses		504,814	_	466,952	_	470,335
Excess (deficiency						
before contributions		162,367		201,084		99,983
Contributions	_	103,000	_	129,000	_	13,500
Increase (decrease)						
in net assets		265,367		330,084		113,483
Net assets beginning of year		2, 507 ,7 82	_	2,177,698		2,064,215
Net assets end of year	\$ <u>_</u>	2,773,149	\$_	2,507,782	\$_	2,177,698

The District's net assets increased by \$265,367. Key elements of this increase are as follows:

- A. Charges for services increased by \$15,072.
- B. Property taxes increased by \$12,093.
- C. Contributions were received from developers in the amount of \$103,000.

CAPITAL ASSETS

The District's investment in capital assets for its proprietary fund activities amounted to \$4,883,104 (net of accumulated depreciation) at December 31, 2005. The investment in capital assets includes buildings, equipment, improvements, land and work in progress. The total increase in the District's investment in capital assets for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005, was \$207,005.

BEAR RIVER WATER CONSERVANCY DISTRICT'S CAPITAL ASSETS

	<u>2005</u>	2004
Buildings Improvements Land Work in progress	\$ 281,662 3,373,800 901,400 326,242	\$ 299,349 3,437,244 901,400 38,106
Net capital assets	\$_4,883,104	\$ 4,676,099

Additional information on the District's capital assets can be found in Note 3 on page 19 of this report.

LONG-TERM DEBT

At December 31, 2005, the District had total debt outstanding of \$3,040,000 in revenue bonds.

BEAR RIVER WATER CONSERVANCY DISTRICT'S OUTSTANDING DEBT

	<u>2005</u>	2004
Revenue bonds	\$ 3,040,000	\$ 3,173,000

The District has obtained the bonds through the State of Utah Division of Drinking Water and the State of Utah Water Resources Board.

Additional information on the District's long-term debt can be found in the notes to the financial statements.

Requests for Information

This financial report is designed to give its readers a general overview of the Bear River Water Conservancy District's finances. Questions regarding any information contained in this report or requests for additional information should be addressed to the General Manager, 102 West Forest, Brigham City, Utah 84302.

BEAR RIVER WATER CONSERVANCY DISTRICT STATEMENT OF NET ASSETS - PROPRIETARY FUND DECEMBER 31, 2005 AND 2004

ASSETS	<u> 2005</u>		2004
Current assets:			
Cash and investments	\$ 542,188	\$	609,239
Accounts receivable	60,466		94,358
Property taxes receivable	120,249		96,205
Prepaid insurance	1,911		1,767
Prepaid weather modification	 	-	13,183
Total current assets	724,814	_	814,752
Noncurrent assets:			
Restricted cash and cash			
equivalents	268,837		2 52, 370
Capital assets, net of			
accumulated depreciation:			
Land and water rights	901,400		901,400
Buildings	281,662		299,349
Water systems	3,373,800		3,437,244
Work in process	 326,242	_	38,106
Total capital assets	 4,883,104	_	4,676,099
Total noncurrent assets	 5,151,941	_	4,928,469
Total assets	 5,876,755	_	5,743,221

BEAR RIVER WATER CONSERVANCY DISTRICT STATEMENT OF NET ASSETS - PROPRIETARY FUND (Continued) DECEMBER 31, 2005 AND 2004

LIABILITIES	<u> 2005</u>	2004
Current liabilities: Accounts payable Interest payable Current portion of long-term debt Other current liabilities	\$ 35,637 10,511 135,000 17,458	\$ 43,500 10,915 133,000 8,024
Total current liabilities	198,606	195,439
Long-term liabilities: Revenue bonds payable	2,905,000	3,040,000
Total long-term liabilities	2,905,000	3,040,000
Total liabilities	3,103,606	3,235,439
NET ASSETS Investment in capital assets, net of related debt	1,832,593	1,492,184
Restricted Unrestricted	268,837 671,719	252,370 763,228
Total net assets	\$ 2,773,149	\$ <u>2,507,782</u>

BEAR RIVER WATER CONSERVANCY DISTRICT STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENSES AND CHANGES IN FUND NET ASSETS - PROPRIETARY FUND FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2005 AND 2004

	2005	2004
Operating revenues: Charges for services	\$ 212,440	\$ 197,368
Total operating revenues	212,440	197,368
Operating expenses: Wages and benefits Materials, supplies and utilities Depreciation Miscellaneous	114,670 106,094 102,385 95,850	110,175 112,810 95,718 78,185
Total operating expenses	418,999	396,888
Operating loss	(206, 559)	(199,520)
Nonoperating revenues (expenses):		
Grant expense Property taxes Interest income Interest expense Trustee fees Other nonoperating revenue Impact fees	(45,931) 391,606 26,878 (33,906) (5,978) 33,397 2,860	(29,227) 379,593 14,193 (35,327) (5,510) 76,882
Total nonoperating revenues Net income before contributions	368,926 162,367	400,604 201,084
Contributions	103,000	129,000
Change in net assets	265,367	330,084
Total net assets - beginning	2,507,782	2,177,698
Total net assets - ending	\$ 2,773,149	\$ 2,507,782

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

BEAR RIVER WATER CONSERVANCY DISTRICT STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS - PROPRIETARY FUND FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2005 AND 2004

Cash flows from operating activities:	<u>2005</u>	2004
Receipts from customers Other operating cash receipts Payments to vendors Payments to employees Other operating cash payments Payments to employees	\$ 157,148 99,291 (209,806) (77,642) (33,212)	\$ 60,772 83,571 (164,261) (72,417) (37,445)
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	(64,221)	(129,780)
Cash flows from noncapital financing activities:		
Property tax revenue Other revenue net of expenses	367,562 (9,964)	419,506 33,576
Net cash provided by (used in) noncapital and related financing activities	<u>357,598</u>	453,082
Cash flows from capital and related financing activities: Principal paid on revenue bonds		
and other contracts Acquisition and construction of	(133,000)	(130,000)
capital assets Interest paid on re v enue bonds	(219,889)	(15,171)
and other contracts Contributed capital	(34,310) 16,360	(35,727) 9, 000
Net cash provided by (used in) capital and related financing		
activities	(370,839)	(171,898)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

BEAR RIVER WATER CONSERVANCY DISTRICT STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS - PROPRIETARY FUND (Continued) FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2005 AND 2004

Cash flows from investing activities:	<u>2005</u>	<u>2004</u>
Interest earnings	\$ <u>26,878</u>	\$ 14,193
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	26,878	14,193
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(50,584)	165,597
Balance - beginning of year	861,609	816,012
Balance - end of year	\$ <u>811,025</u>	\$ 981,609
Cash and cash equivalents recorded in the accompanying balance sheets: Unrestricted Restricted	\$ 542,188 268,837	\$ 609,239 252,370
Total	\$ <u>811,025</u>	\$ 861,609
Reconciliation of operating income (loss) to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities: Operating income (loss) Adjustments to reconcile operating income(loss) to net cash provided by (used in) operating activites:	\$ (20 6, 559)	\$ (199,520)
Depreciation expense Change in assets and liabilities:	102,385	95,718
Receivables, net Accounts and other payables	43,857 (3,904)	(52,732) 26,754
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	\$ (64,221)	\$ <u>(129,780</u>)
Noncash investing, capital and financing activities: Donation of fixed assets	\$ 80 500	\$ 120 000
Dougeton of lived assets	\$ 89,500	\$ 120,000

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

NOTE 1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The Box Elder County Commissioners, recognizing that limited water resources could be a major detriment to continued prosperity and growth in Box Elder County, supported the creation of the Bear River Water Conservancy District in 1988. The Board membership consists of municipal, irrigation and representatives from throughout the county. The District's main objective is to help ensure an adequate water supply for the county.

A. REPORTING ENTITY

In evaluating how to define the District for financial reporting purposes, management has considered all potential component units. The decision to include a potential component unit in the reporting entity was made by applying the criteria set forth in GAAP. The basic - but not the only - criterion for including a potential component unit within the reporting entity is the governing body's ability to exercise oversight responsibility. The most significant manifestation of this ability is financial interdependency. Other manifestations of the ability to exercise oversight responsibility include, but are not limited to, the selection of governing authority, the designation of management, ability to significantly influence operations accountability for fiscal matters. The other criterion used to evaluate potential component units for inclusion or exclusion from the reporting entity is the existence of special financing relationships, regardless of whether the District is able to exercise oversight responsibilities. Based upon the application of these criteria, there are no component units that should be included.

The accounting and reporting policies of the District conform to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America as applicable to governmental units. The District develops, purchases, treats and sells water to retail and wholesale customers and operates in no other industry. The following is a summary of the more significant of such policies:

Presentation - The District has adopted the provisions of GASB Statement No. 34, Basic Financial Statements - and Management's Discussion and Analysis - for State and Local Governments, GASB Statement No. 34, Basic Financial Statements and Management's Discussion and Analysis - for State and Local Governments: Omnibus, and GASB Statement No. 38, Certain Financial Statement Disclosures. These statements require governmental entities with more than one governmental activity to present additional accrual-based statements to better communicate the financial status of the entity.

NOTE 1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

The significant changes to the District's financial statements resulting from the adoption of these statements are the Management's Discussion and Analysis and the titles and presentation of the financial statements to conform to the net asset presentation. Certain balances have been restated, including the District's net assets, to conform to GASB Statement No. 34 presentation.

The District reports its water production, storage and distribution operations as a proprietary fund. Proprietary funds are used to account for operations that are financed and operated in a manner similar to private business enterprises where the intent of the governing body is that the costs (expenses, including depreciation) of providing goods or services to the general public on a continuing basis be financed or recovered primarily through user charges.

Basis of accounting - The District is an enterprise fund and its records are maintained on the accrual basis of accounting. The District elected to follow all Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) pronouncements and all Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Statements and Interpretations, Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinions and Accounting Research Bulletins (ARB) issued on or before November 30, 1989, except those that conflict with a GASB pronouncement, in accordance with GASB Statement No. 20.

Proprietary funds distinguish operating revenues and expenses from nonoperating items. Operating revenues and expenses generally result from providing services and producing and delivering goods in connection with a proprietary fund's principal ongoing operations. The principal operating revenues of the enterprise fund are charges to customers to the system. Operating expenses for enterprise funds include the cost of sales and services, administrative expenses and depreciation on capital assets. All revenues and expenses not meeting this definition are reported as non-operating revenues and expenses.

Management estimates - The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect certain reported amounts and disclosures. Accordingly, actual results could differ from those estimates.

NOTE 1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

B. BUDGETS AND BUDGETARY ACCOUNTING

The District follows the budget and budgetary accounting procedures established by Utah law and described in the Uniform Accounting Manual for Utah Special Districts.

C. PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT

Property, plant and equipment acquired are capitalized and stated at cost. This includes any infrastructure assets.

Depreciation of fixed assets is charged as an expense against operations, and accumulated depreciation is reported on the balance sheet. Depreciation has been provided over the estimated useful lives using the straight-line method of depreciation.

The estimated useful lives for each major class of depreciable fixed assets are as follows:

Buildings 40 years
Water systems 50 years
Equipment 5 - 7 years

No depreciation is provided on construction in progress until the asset is placed in service.

D. CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS

Cash includes amounts in demand deposits as well as short-term investments with a maturity date of three months or less.

NOTE 2. DEPOSITS AND INVESTMENTS

The State of Utah Money Management Council has the responsibility to advise the state treasurer about investment policies, promote measures and rules that will assist in strengthening the banking and credit structure of the state, and review the rules adopted under the authority of the State Money Management Act (the Act) that relate to the deposit and investment of public funds.

NOTE 2. DEPOSITS AND INVESTMENTS (Continued)

The District follows the requirement of the Act (*Utah Code*, Section 51, Chapter 7) in handling its depository and investment transactions. The Act requires the depositing of District funds in a qualified depository. The Act defines a qualified depository as any financial institution whose deposits are insured by an agency of the federal government and which has been certified by the state commissioner of financial institutions as meeting the requirements of the Act and adhering to the rules of the Utah Money Management Council.

A. DEPOSITS

Custodial Credit Risk - Custodial credit risk is the risk that, in the event of a bank failure, the District's deposits may not be returned. The District does not have a formal deposit policy for custodial credit risk. As of December 31, 2005, \$0 of the District's bank balances of \$43,504 was uninsured and uncollateralized. As of December 31, 2004, \$0 of the District's bank balances of \$34,989 was uninsured and uncollateralized.

B. INVESTMENTS

The Act defines the types of securities authorized as appropriate investments for the District and the conditions for making investment transactions. Investment transactions may be conducted only through qualified depositories, certified dealers or directly with the issuers of the investment securities.

Statutes authorize the District to invest in negotiable or nonnegotiable deposits of qualified depositories and permitted negotiable depositories; repurchase and reverse repurchase agreements; commercial paper that is classified as "first tier" by two nationally recognized statistical rating organizations, one of which must be Moody's Investors Service or Standards & Poor's; bankers' acceptances; obligations of the United States Treasury including bills, notes and bonds; bonds, notes and other evidence of indebtedness of political subdivisions of the state; fixed rate corporate obligations and variable rate securities rated "A" or higher, or the equivalent of "A" or higher, by two nationally recognized statistical rating organizations; shares or certificates in a money market mutual fund as defined by the Act; and the Utah State Public Treasurer's Investment Fund.

The Utah State Treasurer's Office operates the Public Treasurer's Investment Fund (PTIF). The PTIF is available for investment of funds administered by any Utah public treasurer.

NOTE 2. DEPOSITS AND INVESTMENTS (Continued)

The PTIF is not registered with the SEC as an investment company. The PTIF is authorized and regulated by the Act. The Act established the Money Management Council which oversees the activities of the state treasurer and the PTIF, and details the types of authorized investments. Deposits in the PTIF are not insured or otherwise guaranteed by the State of Utah, and participants share proportionally in any realized gains or losses on investments.

The PTIF operates and reports to participants on an amortized cost basis. The income, gains and losses, net of administration fees, of the PTIF are allocated based upon the participant's average daily balance. The fair value of the PTIF investment pool is approximately equal to the value of the pool shares.

District investments at December 31, 2005, consisted of the following:

Investment Type	F ai r <u>Value</u>	Maturities (Less than one year)	Quality <u>Rating</u>
State of Utah P.T.I.F. Collateralized money market Mutual fund - invested in	\$ 598,488 94,353	\$ 598,488 94, 353	Unrated Unrated
U.S. Government Treasuries	_111,867	111,867	AAA
	\$ <u>804,708</u>	\$ 804,708	

District investments at December 31, 2004, consisted of the following:

<u> Investment Type</u>	Fair <u>Value</u>	Maturities (Less than one year)	Quality <u>Rating</u>
State of Utah P.T.I.F. Collateralized money market Mutual fund - invested in	\$ 657,353 91,827	\$ 657,353 91,827	Unrated Unrated
U.S. Government Treasuries	99,912	99,912	AAA
	\$ <u>849,092</u>	\$ <u>849,092</u>	

NOTE 2. DEPOSITS AND INVESTMENTS (Continued)

Interest Rate Risk - Interest rate risk is the risk that changes in interest rates will adversely affect the fair value of an investment. The District's policy for managing its exposure to fair value loss arising from increasing interest rates is to comply with the Act. Section 51-7-11 of the Act requires that the remaining term to maturity of investments may not exceed the period of availability of the funds to be invested. The Act further limits the remaining term to maturity on all investments in commercial paper, bankers' acceptances, fixed rate negotiable deposits and fixed rate corporate obligations of 270 to 365 days or less. In addition, variable rate negotiable deposits and variable rate securities may not have a remaining term to final maturity exceeding two years.

<u>Credit Risk</u> - Credit risk is the risk that an issuer or other counterparty to an investment will not fulfill its obligations. The District's policy for reducing its exposure to credit risk is to comply with the Act as previously discussed. See the quality ratings on the investment schedules above.

Custodial Credit Risk - For an investment, custodial credit risk is the risk that, in the event of the failure of the counterparty, the District will not be able to recover the value of its investments or collateral securities that are in the possession of an outside party. The District does not have a formal investment policy for custodial credit risk in regard to the custody of the District's investments.

Concentration of Credit Risk - Concentration of credit risk is the risk of loss attributed to the magnitude of a government's investment in a single issuer. The District's policy for reducing this risk of loss is to comply with the Rules of Money Management Council.

NOTE 3. CAPITAL ASSETS

The following is a summary of changes in capital assets during the fiscal year:

	December 31, 2004 <u>Balance</u>	<u>Increases</u>	<u>Decreases</u>	December 31, 2005 <u>Balance</u>
Capital assets not being				
depreciated:				
Work in process	\$ 38,106	\$ 288,136	\$ -	\$ 326,242
Land and water rights	901,400			901,400
Total capital assets not being depreciated	939,506	288,136	_	1,227,642
				
Capital assets being depreciated:				
Buildings and equipment	433,182	15,593	_	448,775
Water systems	4,082,754	5,660	_	4,088,414
Total capital assets				
being depreciated	4,515,936	21,253		4,537,189
Total capital assets at				
historical cost	5,455,442	309,389	-	5,764,831
Accumulated depreciation:				
Buildings and equipment	(133,833)	(18,207)		(152 040)
Water systems	(645,510)		_	(152,040)
	(043,310)	(84,177)		<u>(729,687</u>)
Total accumulated	4550 040)			
depreciation	<u>(779,343</u>)	(102,384)		<u>(881,727</u>)
Business-type activities				
capital assets, net	\$ 4, 676,099	\$ 207,005	\$ -	\$4,883,104

NOTE 4. INTEREST EXPENSE

FASB 34 (Capitalization of Interest Costs) requires under certain circumstances that interest expenditures incurred during construction of assets be capitalized. During 2005 and 2004, no interest was capitalized because no loans were taken out for construction in either year. The total amount of interest incurred during 2005 and 2004 was \$33,906 and \$36,712, respectively.

NOTE 5. RETIREMENT PLANS

Defined Benefit Plan

Plan Description

The Bear River Water Conservancy District contributes to the Local Governmental Non-Contributory Retirement System Cost-Sharing Multiple-Employer Defined Benefit Pension Plan administered by the Utah Retirement Systems (Systems). Utah Retirement Systems provide refunds, retirement benefits, annual cost of living adjustments and death benefits to Plan members and beneficiaries in accordance with retirement statutes.

The Systems are established and governed by the respective sections of Chapter 49 of the Utah Code Annotated 1953 as amended. in Chapter 49 provides for Office Act State Retirement administration of the Utah Retirement Systems and Plans under the direction of the Utah State Retirement Board (Board) whose members are appointed by the Governor. The Systems issue a publicly available financial report that includes financial statements and required supplementary information for the Local Governmental Contributory Retirement System, Local Governmental Non-Contributory Retirement System, Public Safety Retirement System for employers with (without) Social Security coverage and Firefighters Retirement System which are for employers with (without) Social Security coverage. A copy of the report may be obtained by writing to the Utah Retirement Systems, 540 East 200 South, Salt Lake City, UT 84102 or by calling 1-800-365-8772.

Funding Policy

In the Local Governmental Non-Contributory Retirement System, the Bear River Water Conservancy District is required to contribute 9.62 percent for January 1, 2004, to June 30, 2004, and 11.090 percent for July 1, 2004, to December 31, 2005, of their annual covered salary. The contribution rates are the actuarially determined rates and are approved by the Board as authorized by Chapter 49.

The District's contributions to the Non-Contributory Retirement System for December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003 were \$8,304, \$6,751 and \$5,235, respectively. The contributions were equal to the required contributions for each year.

NOTE 5. RETIREMENT PLANS (Continued)

401(k) Plan

Plan Description

The District participates in the 401(k) Plan, a contributory cost-sharing, multiple-employer defined contribution pension plan administered by the Utah State Retirement Systems (Systems). See the above for information and authority regarding the System. The Plan provides for retirement benefits.

Funding Policy

Bear River Water Conservancy District contributes 2.79 percent of the employee's base salary into the Plan and other contributions. Employee contributions are optional within prescribed limits. Bear River Water Conservancy District's contributions for each employee (and interest allocated to the employees account) are fully vested upon deposit into the Plan.

Bear River Water Conservancy District's contributions totaled \$2,169, \$1,782 and \$1,584 for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively.

Participants contributed \$3,311, \$1,810 and \$1,750 for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively.

NOTE 6. PROPERTY TAX

Property taxes attach as an enforceable lien on property as of January 1. Taxes are levied on June 15 and are due November 30.

NOTE 7. RESTRICTED ASSETS

The cash and investments listed as restricted in the balance sheet are deposits and bond proceeds required to be spent on certain construction projects or bond sinking funds required to be accumulated.

NOTE 8. LONG-TERM DEBT

Revenue Bonds Payable

The following is a summary of the District's revenue bonds at December 31, 2005:

\$1,470,000 Newman Well Bond Series 1995, due in annual installments of \$9,686 to \$72,936 through January 1, 2024, with interest at 1.30%.

\$ 1,076,000

\$681,000 Harper Ward Bond Series 1994, due in annual installments of \$698 to \$41,338 through January 1, 2021, with interest at .82%.

489,000

\$650,000 Bear River Water District Ukon Project Series 1995A, due in annual installments of \$2,785 to \$29,247 through March, 2021, with interest at .85%.

432,000

\$1,110,000 Water Bonds (Water Resources Board) Series 1995B, due in annual installments of \$33,100 to \$55,080 through March 1,2026, with interest at 1.00%.

890,000

\$210,000 Water Bonds Series 1999, due in annual installments of \$1,321 to \$12,745 through January 1, 2020, with interest at 1.50%.

153,000

Total revenue bonds payable Current portion
Total long-term revenue bonds payable

3,040,000 (135,000)

\$<u>2,905,000</u>

All of the revenue bonds are secured by the District's annual operating revenue.

NOTE 8. LONG-TERM DEBT (Continued)

Changes in Long-Term Liabilities

During the year ended December 31, 2005, the following changes occurred in long-term liabilities:

Business-Type:

	December 31, 2004 <u>Balance</u>	<u>Additions</u>	Reductions	December 31, 2005 <u>Balance</u>	Due Within <u>One Year</u>
Revenue bonds payable	\$ 3,173,000	\$ <u>-</u>	\$ 133,000	\$ 3,040,000	\$ 135,000

Debt Service Requirements

The debt service requirements, including interest and principal, for long-term debt at December 31, 2005, are as follows:

Business-Type:

	Revenue Bonds			
	Principal		Interest	
Year ending December 31:		_		
2006	\$	135,000	\$	32,865
2007		143,000		31,399
2008		147,000		29,855
2009		148,000		28,271
2010		152,000		26,675
2011 - 2015		832,000		107,677
2016 - 2020		928,000		60,564
2021 - 2025		501,000		16,428
2026		54,000	_	540
	\$ <u>3</u>	,040,000	\$_	334,274

NOTE 8. LONG-TERM DEBT (Continued)

The following is a summary of sinking fund requirements to maturity on a revenue bond:

	1999
	<u>Bond</u>
Year ending December 31:	
2006	\$ 1 , 220
2007	1,220
2008	434
	\$ <u>2,874</u>

NOTE 9. MANAGEMENT OF RISK

The District is exposed to various risks of loss related to torts; theft of, damage to, and destruction of assets; error and omissions; injuries to employees; and natural disasters.

All general liability, real property and all vehicles including heavy equipment, are insured through Utah Local Government Trust and a commercial policy (errors and omissions), and injuries to employees are insured through employees' workers compensation (additional assessments are not allowed). There have been no claims filed on the District's insurance coverage for any of the past three years. For insured programs, there have been no significant reductions in insurance coverage.

NOTE 10. RESTATEMENT OF PRIOR PERIOD

Near the end of fiscal year 2004, a water distribution line was donated to the District. The donation, estimated at a value of \$120,000, was not recorded during the fiscal year ending December 31, 2004. Capital assets, water systems and investment in capital assets, net of related debt in the statement of net assets, and contributions in the statement of revenues, expenses and changes in fund net assets have all been increased by \$120,000 over the amounts reported in the prior year.

Davis Bott

Certified Public Accountants, L.C.

547 South Main P.O. Box 369 Brigham City, Utah 84302 435-723-5224

216 East Main Tremonton, Utah 84337 435-257-5486

REPORT ON LEGAL COMPLIANCE APPLICABLE TO UTAH STATE LAWS AND REGULATIONS

Board of Trustees Bear River Water Conservancy District Brigham City, UT 84302

We have audited the financial statements of the Bear River Water Conservancy District (the District) for the year ended December 31, 2005, and have issued our report thereon dated February 27, 2006. Our audit included test work on the District's compliance with those general compliance requirements identified in the State of Utah Legal Compliance Audit Guide, including:

Public Debt
Cash Management
Purchasing Requirements
Budgetary Compliance
Truth in Taxation & Property Tax Limitations
Special Districts
Other General Compliance Issues
Impact Fees

The District did not receive any major state grants during the year ended December 31, 2005.

The management of the District is responsible for the District's compliance with all compliance requirements identified above. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance with those requirements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether material noncompliance with the requirements referred to above occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the District's compliance with those requirements. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

The results of our audit procedures disclosed an immaterial instance of noncompliance with requirements referred to above, which is described in the accompanying management letter. We considered this instance of noncompliance in forming our opinion on compliance, which is expressed in the following paragraph.

In our opinion, the Bear River Water Conservancy District complied, in all material respects, with the general compliance requirements identified above for the year ended December 31, 2005.

Davis & Bott

Certified Public Accountants, L.C.

Brigham City, Utah February 27, 2006

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENTAL AUDITING STANDARDS

Board of Trustees Bear River Water Conservancy District Brigham City, UT 84302

We have audited the financial statements of the Bear River Water Conservancy District (District) as of and for the year December 31, 2005, and have issued our report thereon dated February 27, 2006. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the District's internal control over financial reporting in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting. Our consideration of the internal control would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control over financial reporting that might be material weaknesses. material weakness is a reportable condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that misstatements caused by error or fraud in amounts that would be material in relation to the financial statements being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. We noted no matters involving the internal control over financial reporting and its operation that we considered to be material weaknesses. However, we noted a matter involving the internal control over financial reporting that we have reported to management of the Bear River Water Conservancy District in the letter of recommendations dated February 27, 2006.

Bear River Water Conservancy District February 27, 2006 Page Two

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the District's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*. However, we noted an immaterial instance of noncompliance that we reported to management of the Bear River Water Conservancy District in the letter of recommendations dated February 27, 2006.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Board of Trustees, management and state agencies, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Davie & Bott

Certified Public Accountants, L.C.

Brigham City, Utah February 27, 2006

Davis Bott

Certified Public Accountants, L.C.

547 South Main P.O. Box 369 Brigham City, Utah 84302 435-723-5224

216 East Main Tremonton, Utah 84337 435-257-5486 Board of Trustees Bear River Water Conservancy District Brigham City, UT 84302

We have audited the financial statements of Bear River Water Conservancy District for the year ended December 31, 2005, and have issued our report thereon dated February 27, 2006. of our examination, we made a study and evaluation of the District's system of internal accounting control to the extent we considered necessary to evaluate the system as required by generally accepted auditing standards. The purpose of our study and evaluation was to determine the nature, timing and extent of the auditing procedures necessary for expressing an opinion on District's financial statements. Our study and evaluation was more limited than would necessary to express an opinion on the system of internal accounting control taken as a whole.

The management of Bear River Water Conservancy District is responsible for establishing and maintaining a system of internal accounting control. In fulfilling this responsibility, estimates and judgments management are required to assess the expected benefits and related costs of control procedures. objectives of a system are to management with reasonable, but not absolute, assurance that assets are safeguarded against loss from unauthorized use or disposition, and that transactions are executed in accordance with management's authorization and recorded properly to permit the preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.

Because of inherent limitations in any system of internal accounting control, errors or irregularities may nevertheless occur and not be detected. Also, projection of any evaluation of the system to future periods is subject to the risk that procedures may become inadequate because of changes in conditions or that the degree of compliance with the procedures may deteriorate.

Bear River Water Conservancy District February 27, 2006 Page Two

Our study and evaluation made for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph would not necessarily disclose all material weaknesses in the system. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the system of internal accounting control of Bear River Water Conservancy District taken as a whole.

However, our study and evaluation disclosed the following reportable or agreed-upon conditions that we believe result in a relatively low risk that errors or irregularities in amounts that would be material in relation to the financial statements of Bear River Water Conservancy District may occur and not be detected within a timely period.

CURRENT YEAR RECOMMENDATIONS

None.

PRIOR YEAR RECOMMENDATIONS

<u>Issue</u>: Impact fees can only be imposed after: (a) a capital facilities plan is adopted, (b) a written analysis of each impact fee is performed, and (c) the impact fee goes through all impact fee enactment procedures.

Recommendation: That any impact fees go through the procedures required by Utah law.

<u>District's Response</u>: The District will follow the proper steps to comply with the Utah impact fee laws.

<u>Current Status</u>: Impact fee adoption procedures have been followed and the fees were formally adopted on December 7, 2005.

Issue: Hookup fees cannot exceed the approximate average cost of the
service provided.

Recommendation: That the District determine the average cost of hookups and not charge in excess of that average.

<u>District's Response</u>: The District will prepare the average cost of a hookup and will not charge in excess of it.

<u>Current Status</u>: The District is charging the actual costs of the hookups to the customer.

Bear River Water Conservancy District February 27, 2006 Page Three

<u>Issue</u>: Bear River Water Conservancy District has insufficient segregation of duties due to the small size of the entity.

Recommendation: We recommend that the District look at the cost versus the benefit of improving the segregation of duties.

<u>District's Response</u>: The District is too small to adequately segregate duties, but we will do what we can to safeguard assets.

<u>Current Status</u>: Lack of segregation is a product of the organizational structure and limited staffing. This condition requires increased reliance on the integrity of existing staff and highlights the importance of thorough background checks for new hires. This condition continues, but there have been improvements made.

CONCLUSION

We wish to take this opportunity to express our thanks and appreciation for the courtesy and assistance extended to us by your personnel during our audit work.

We welcome the opportunity to discuss further any points mentioned herein. We feel that proper implementation of these suggestions will improve internal controls and protect the District's assets.

Davis & Bott

Certified Public Accountants, L.C.

February 27, 2006 Brigham City, Utah